

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/019,269	12/28/2001	Axel Schumacher	R.35853	4016	
2119	7590 02/26/2003				
RONALD E			EXAM	INER	
	GREIGG & GREIGG P.L.L.C. 1423 POWHATAN STREET, UNIT ONE			SY, MARIANO ONG	
ALEXANDR	IA, VA 22314	•	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
	3683				
			DATE MAILED: 02/26/2003	DATE MAILED: 02/26/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

_,		Application No.	Applicant(s)
, <b>=</b>		\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	
	Office Action Summary	10/019,269	SCHUMACHER, AXEL
	Onice Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	Mariano Sy	3683
Period fo		opears on the cover sheet wi	in the correspondence address
THE N - Exten after: - If the - If NO - Failui - Any re	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION usions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re- period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu- eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thirt divill apply and will expire SIX (6) MON the, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed  y (30) days will be considered timely.  THS from the mailing date of this communication.  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	•	
2a) <u></u>	This action is <b>FiNAL</b> . 2b)⊠ T	his action is non-final.	
3)□ Dispositi	Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice unde on of Claims		
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>8-19</u> is/are pending in the application	on.	
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr	awn from consideration.	
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠	Cłaim(s) <u>8-19</u> is/are rejected.		
7) 🗌	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
-	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/ on Papers	or election requirement.	
9)🖾 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.	
10) 🔲 🗆	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ acc	epted or b) objected to by t	he Examiner.
	Applicant may not request that any objection to t	he drawing(s) be held in abeya	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11) 🔲 🗆	The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a)□ approved b)□ d	isapproved by the Examiner.
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	eply to this Office action.	
12) 🔲 🛚	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer.	
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13)⊠	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	gn priority under 35 U.S.C. §	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a)[	☑ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:		
	1. Certified copies of the priority documer	nts have been received.	
	2. Certified copies of the priority documer	nts have been received in A	pplication No
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International B	Sureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	_
	ee the attached detailed Office action for a lis	•	
	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domes		
15) <u> </u>	The translation of the foreign language procknowledgment is made of a claim for domes		
Attachment	` <i>'</i>		
2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of I	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)
.S. Patent and Tro PTO-326 (Rev		Action Summary	Part of Paper No. 6



Application/Control Number: 10/019,269

Art Unit: 3683

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 8-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claim 8, the phrase "in particular an electromechanical wheel brake assembly" in lines 1-2 renders the claim indefinite because it is unclear whether the limitations following the phrase are part of the claimed invention. See MPEP § 2173.05(d).

Claim 8 recites the limitation "the tightening direction" in line 3. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 8 recites the limitation "a quasi-static terminal braking state" in line 3. It is indefinite and unclear what applicant is referring to.

Claim 8 recites the limitation "the release direction" in lines 4-5. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 8 recites the phrase "if at all, only imperceptibly" in line 7. It is indefinite and unclear what applicant is referring to.

Regarding independent claim 9 and all claims dependent to claim 9, no search and prior arts have been applied because the claim language is so unclear, vague, and indefinite.



Application/Control Number: 10/019,269

Art Unit: 3683

Claim 9 recites the limitation "a quasi-steady state" in lines 2-3. It is indefinite and unclear what applicant is referring to.

Claim 9 recites the phrase "if at all, only imperceptibly" in line 6. It is indefinite and unclear what applicant is referring to.

Claim 11 recites "repeating steps (b) and (c) in line 1. There is no step (c) in claim 9 wherein claim 11 is dependent on.

Claim 13 recites "repeating steps (b) and (c) in line 1. There is no step (c) in claim 9 wherein claims 11 and 13 are dependent on.

Claim 15 recites "repeating steps (b) and (c) in line 1. There is no step (c) in claim 9 wherein claims 11 and 15 are dependent on.

Claim 17 recites "repeating steps (b) and (c) in line 1. There is no step (c) in claim 9 wherein claims 11 and 17 are dependent on.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 8, 10, 12, 14, 16, and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Schenk et al. (U.S. Patent Number 5,090,518).

Re-claims 8, 10, 12, 14, 16, and 18 Schenk et al. discloses a method for actuating a wheel brake assembly, comprising the steps of (a) initially actuating the



Application/Control Number: 10/019,269

Art Unit: 3683

brake assembly in the tightening direction, then (b) actuating the wheel brake assembly for a brief period of time in the release direction, and then (c) again actuating the brake assembly in the tightening direction, said brief period of time of the actuation in the release direction being selected to be so short that the braking force is reduced; repeating steps (b) and (c); wherein steps (b) and (c) are repeated after a predetermined period of time after the onset of the re-tightening; wherein steps (b) and (c) are repeated when the wheel brake assembly comes to a stop upon re-tightening; and wherein said brief period of time during which the system is actuated in the release direction is defined by a travel distance by which an actuating element is moved in the release direction. This method appears to read on the well-known anti-lock brake system of Schenk et al. wherein the brakes are actuated and released intermittently and repeatedly in a brief period of time so that the wheel does not slip or lock on different road surface conditions, see col. 2, lines 56-68 and col. 3, lines 1-20.

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Tribe (U.S. Patent Number 4,399,894) discloses a push rod slack adjuster. Shaw et al. (U.S. Patent Number 5,219,048) discloses an electric disc brake. Takahashi et al. (U.S. Patent Number 5,348,123) discloses a brake actuating apparatus.

Zhang (U.S. Patent Number 5,454,630) discloses an automobile antilock braking.

Page 5

•

Application/Control Number: 10/019,269

Art Unit: 3683

Kingston et al. (U.S. Patent Number 5,931,268) discloses an electrical actuation

mechanism for disc brake assembly.

Rinsma (U.S. Patent Number 6,311,807 B1) discloses a brake caliper with wear

compensation.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Mariano Sy whose telephone number is 703-308-3427.

The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. from 9:00 A.M. to 3:00 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Jack Lavinder, can be reached on (703) 308-3421. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-305-7687.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-

1113.

M. Sy

February 10, 2003

MATTHEW C. GRAHAM PRIMARY EXAMINER

**GROUP 310**